#### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-3 and 5-30 were previously pending in the application. Claim 29 is amended; and new claims 31-32 are added herein. Assuming the entry of this amendment, claims 1-3 and 5-32 are now pending in the application. The Applicant hereby requests further examination and reconsideration of the application in view of the foregoing amendments and these remarks.

#### Missing IDS:

An information disclosure statement based on a corresponding European search report citing four (4) references was filed with the USPTO on 11/02/2004. In the next office action, we request the enclosure of Form PTO-1449 with the Examiner's initials as acknowledgment of these references. For your convenience, we are enclosing copies of the PTO-1449 form filed on 11/02/2004 and the return postcard, which was stamped as received by the OIPE on 11/04/2004.

#### Claim Rejections:

In paragraph 3, the Examiner rejected claims 1-3 and 5-30 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murray in view of Patel. For the following reasons, the Applicant submits that all pending claims are allowable over Murray and Patel.

#### Claims 1-3 and 5-30:

Claim 1 is directed to a device having a <u>splitter</u> adapted to receive an input signal corresponding to a duobinary sequence and generate a first copy and a second copy of the input signal. The device also has first and second comparators and a logic gate. The first and second comparators are adapted to receive the first and second copies, respectively, and generate first and second binary signals, and the logic gate is adapted to generate a third binary signal based on the first and second binary signals, wherein the third binary signal is a binary representation of the duobinary sequence.

Murray discloses a circuit designed to convert a duobinary signal into a binary signal (see, e.g., Fig. 1 and page 1, lines 3-5). On page 3 of the office action, the Examiner admitted that Murray does not teach "a splitter that splits the duobinary signal into a first copy and a second copy before being inputted into the comparators." However, the Examiner stated that "Patel et al. disclose in Figure 1, a duo-binary to binary encoder circuit that comprises a data splitter (15) that splits the duo-binary signal before its conversion to binary (see col. 5, lines 42-53)."

Patel discloses an apparatus for transmitting an 8-bit binary format data word as a 6-trit ternary (a variant of duobinary modulation) code word (see, e.g., the abstract and Figs. 1-3). Therefore, the apparatus of Patel is a binary-to-duobinary encoder circuit and <u>not</u> "a duobinary to binary encoder circuit" as stated by the Examiner in the above-cited portion of the rejection. As such, it is submitted that the Examiner mischaracterized the teachings of Patel and used them improperly to reject the claims.

In addition, the Applicant submits that it would <u>not</u> have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the circuits of Murray and Patel because these circuits have opposite functionality. More specifically, the circuit of Murray acts as a duobinary-to-binary converter while the circuit of Patel acts as a binary-to-duobinary converter. As such, the circuit of Patel would substantially reverse the conversion performed by the circuit of Murray, and vice versa. Thus, incorporation of the circuit of Patel into the circuit of Murray, or vice versa, would destroy the circuit's functionality. For this reason, the Applicant submits that the Examiner improperly combined the teachings of Murray and Patel.

Even if the combination of Murray and Patel were proper, which the Applicant does not admit, it is submitted that Murray and Patel, independently or in combination, do not teach or even suggest a splitter adapted to generate first and second copies of an input signal, wherein the input signal corresponds to a duobinary sequence as explicitly recited in claim 1.

As already indicated above, the Examiner admitted that Murray does not teach a splitter. For the reasons indicated below, the Applicant submits that the Examiner's reliance on Patel's data splitter 15 and its description in col. 5, lines 42-53, is improper. More specifically, Patel's col. 5, lines 42-53, read as follows:

The 4-bit nibbles from MAC 10 are received by an 8-bit register 11. Register 11 receives two nibbles and then makes the resulting 8-bit data word available to 8B6T encoder 13. The encoder encodes the 8-bit data word and outputs a 12-bit binary coded ternary (BCT) code word, which represents a 6-trit ternary code word, to data splitter 15. Operation of data splitter 15 is controlled by state machine 14 which is connected to the MAC's clock and which provides a simple sequential 3-bit select signal to data splitter 15 and encoder 13. The data splitter time de-multiplexes the 12-bit code words among three shift registers 16, 17, and 18 in a round robin fashion in response to the 3-bit select signal from state machine 14. [Emphasis added.]

It is therefore apparent that Patel's data splitter 15 is a time-based data de-multiplexer adapted to route different bits of the input code words to different outputs. Thus, each of the output signals generated by data splitter 15 carries a different subset of the data carried by the input signal and, as such, is <u>not</u> a copy of that signal. In contrast, the splitter recited in claim 1 is "adapted to receive an input signal and generate a first copy and a second copy of the input signal."

For all these reasons, the Applicant submits that claim 1 is allowable over Murray and Patel. For similar reasons, the Applicant submits that claims 14, 18, and 21 are also allowable over Murray and Patel. Since claims 2-3, 5-13, 15-17, 19-20, and 22-30 depend variously from claims 1, 14, 18, and 21, it is further submitted that those claims are also allowable over Murray and Patel.

Claims 31-32:

New claim 31 is directed to a method of signal processing. The method includes the steps of:
(A) splitting an input signal representing a duobinary sequence into first and second copies using a splitter; (B) comparing (i) magnitude of the first copy with a first threshold voltage to generate a first binary value and (ii) magnitude of the second copy with a second threshold voltage to generate a second binary value; and (C) applying a logic function to the first and second binary values to generate an output signal having a binary representation of the duobinary sequence. For step (B), the comparison is performed asynchronously without recovering a clock signal corresponding to the input signal and each of the first and second threshold voltages is not based on peak detection in the input signal. New claim 32 has analogous limitations. The Applicant submits that none of the cited references teaches a combination of features corresponding to that of claim. It is therefore submitted that claims 31 and 32 are allowable over the cited references.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, the Applicant believes that the now pending claims are in condition for allowance. Therefore, the Applicant believes that the entire application is now in condition for allowance, and early and favorable action is respectfully solicited.

Date: 4/5/05

Customer No. 46850 Mendelsohn & Associates, P.C. 1515 Market Street, Suite 715 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 Respectfully submitted,

Yuri Gruzdkov

Registration No. 50,762

Agent for Applicant (215) 557-8544 (phone)

(215) 557-8477 (fax)

In re Application of: Andrew Adamiecki and Jeffrey H. Sinsky Attorney Docket No.: Adamiecki 2-6 Serial No.: 10/630,422 Filed: 07/30/2003 Title: "Duobinary-to-Binary Signal Converter" To: Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Kindly affix hereon the official stamp of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office acknowledging receipt of the following:

> Information Disclosure Statement w/PTO-1449 (3 pages) and 4 References; and 1.

2. Copy of European Search Report from Corresponding European application (3 pages).

YAG:al Date:	Sincerely,	
	Yuri Gruzdkov	
990.0499	Reg. No. 50,762	

In re Application of: Andrew L. Adamiecki and Jeffrey H. Sinsky

Attorney Docket No.: Adamiecki 2-6

Serial No.: 10/630,422 Filed: 07/30/2003

निर्तेष: "Duobinary-to-Binary Signal Converter"

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Kindly affix hereon the official stamp of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office acknowledging receipt of the following:

> Information Disclosure Statement w/PTO-1449 (3 pages) and 4 References; and 1.

Copy of European Search Report from Corresponding European application (3 pages).

YAG:al Date:

Sincerely.

990.0499

Yuri Gruzdkov Reg. No. 50,762

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re: Attorney Docket No. Adamiecki 2-6

In re application of: Andrew L. Adamiecki and Jeffrey H. Sinsky

Serial No.: <u>10/360,422</u> Filed: <u>07/30/2003</u>

Group No.: <u>2819</u>

Examiner: Jean Pierre, Peguy

Matter No.: <u>990.0499</u>

For: <u>Duobinary-to-Binary Signal Converter</u>

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.56, this is an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) for the above-captioned U.S. patent application. An IDS Form PTO-1449 listing references is enclosed herewith and forms a part hereof. Submission of references is not an admission that those references are in fact prior art.

## Certification Under 37 CFR § 1.704(d)

[X] Each item of information contained in this statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and this communication was not received by any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of this statement.

#### Certification Under 37 CFR § 1.97(e)

[X] Each item of information contained in this statement was either (1) cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this statement or (2) not known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this statement.

#### Certification Under 37 CFR 1.8

Date of Deposit \_// /02 / 2004

I hereby certify that this paper and any accompanying papers or fees are being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail under 37 CFR 1.8 on the date indicated above and addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Amy Laudenslager (Name of person mailing)

Signature of person mailing)

Fee U	Under 37 CFR § 1.97(c)						
[]	The fee for submission of this IDS under § 1.97	(c) is \$180.00 as set forth in § 1.17(p).					
Petitio	ion Under 37 CFR § 1.97(d)						
[]	This is a petition requesting consideration of an IDS under § 1.97(d). The petition fee for submission of this IDS under § 1.97(d) is \$180.00 as set forth in § 1.17(p).						
Provis	ision of Copies of References						
[X]	A copy of each of the listed references is enclosed	ed herewith and forms a part hereof.					
	[ ] A translation of each of Reference(s)	is enclosed herewith and forms a part hereof.					
[]	A copy of the International Search Report from herewith.	a corresponding PCT application is enclosed					
[X]	A copy of the European Search Report from a cherewith.	orresponding EPO application is enclosed					
[]	A copy of the final U.S. office action for U.S. pa as attorney docket no. (Case name or our ref	tent application no filed on					
[]	The above-captioned U.S. patent application is a  [ ] continuation  [ ] divisional  [ ] continuation-in-part						
	application. The above-captioned U.S. patent a	d by or submitted to the Office in the prior oplication relies upon the prior application for an t to § 1.98(d), copies of the listed references are					
Autho	orization To Charge Deposit Account						
[X]	Authorization is given to charge the deposit account No. 50-0782 for any fee under § 1.97(	ount of Mendelsohn & Associates, P.C. Deposit e) or § 1.97(d).					
form a	It is respectfully requested that the Examiner ini as confirmation that each of the documents has be	tial and return a copy of the enclosed PTO-1449 en considered.					
	Rea	spectfully submitted,					
Date:	11 02 04 omer No. 46850 Yu	Hout					
Mende 1515 N	Customer No. 46850  Mendelsohn & Associates, P.C.  1515 Market Street, Suite 715  Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102  Yuri Gruzdkov  Registration No. 50,762  Agent for Applicant  (215) 557-8544						

Enclosures

									Sheet	<u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
FOR . (R	M Pi ev:	0-14 2-32	49 U.S. DEPARTMENT PATENT AND TRAI	OF COMMEN	CE	ATTY. DO	OCKET NO	•	SERIAL	NO.
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				Adamiecki 2-6 10/360,422				422		
(	Пао					Andrew I	. Adami	ecki and J	effrey H. Sins GROUP	kv
(Use several sheets if necessary)					FILING	DATE		GROUP		
					I US P	07/30/2003 ATENT DOCUMENTS			2819	
EXAMINER DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE		· NAME		CLASS	SUBCLA SS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE				
							T			<del></del>
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
					1					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FOREIGN	PATENT DOCUMEN	TS		<u> </u>	
			DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	(	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANS YES	SLATION NO
	_	BA	EP 0 369 159 A	05/1990	Europe				Abstract	
·		BB	GB 2 217 957	11/1989	United 1	Kingdom			English	
	_	BC	EP 0 551 858 A2	07/1993	Europe				English	
	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							·	
	$\perp$									
<del></del>	BD	Т				ing Anthor, Title, Date				
			"Extended 10 Gb/s Fiber Technology Letters, IEEE	Inc. New You	rk, US, v	ol. 6, no. 5, 1 May		ry Receiver," 648-650.	by G. May et al., IE	EE Photonics
							-			
		-								
		نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ								
EXAMINER			· ·		····	DATE CONSI	DERED	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

(Form PTO-1449)



P.B.5818 2280 HV Riis +31 70 340 2040 31651 epo ni

Europäische: Patentamt 199

Zweigstelle in Den Haag Recherchenabteilung

European **Patent Office** 

Branch at The Hague

Search

des brevets

Département à La Haye Division de la recherche

Watts, Christopher Malcolm Kelway, Lucent Technologies NS UK Ltd 5 Mornington Road Woodford Green Essex, IG8 OTU GRANDE BRETAGNE

IP DEPT 4 OCT 2004 C. S.T. B C.34, K. W D.A. 5. VELANC DOCKETS RECURDS COPY

Datum/Date 14.10.04

25850

Zeichen/Ref./Réf.

A.L.ADAMIECKI 2 - (

Anmeldung Nr./Application No./Demande n°./Patent Nr./Patent No./Brevet n°. 04254284.5-2223-

Anmelder/Applicant/Demandeur/Patentinhaber/Proprietor/Titulaire LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC.

# COMMUNICATION

The European Patent Office herewith transmits as an enclosure the European search report for the above-mentioned European patent application.

If applicable, copies of the documents cited in the European search report are attached.

Additional set(s) of copies of the documents cited in the European search report is (are) enclosed

The following specifications given by the applicant have been approved by the Search Division:

☐ abstract

\_\_\_\_ title

The abstract was modified by the Search Division and the definitive text is attached to this communication.

The following figure will be published together with the abstract:

3

# REFUND OF THE SEARCH FEE

If applicable under Article 10 Rules relating to fees, a separate communication from the Receiving Section on the refund of the search fee will be sent later.



# **EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT**

Application Number EP 04 25 4284

i	DOCUMENTS CONSIL	DERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category	Citation of document with of relevant pas	indication, where appropriate, sages	Relevant to-claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IntCI.7)		
X	MAY G ET AL: "EXT TRANSMISSION DISTAL DUOBINARY RECEIVER IEEE PHOTONICS TECH INC. NEW YORK, US, vol. 6, no. 5, 1 Ma pages 648-650, XPOO ISSN: 1041-1135 * figure 1 * * page 648, right-fine 42 *	1-3,7,10	H03M5/18			
	EP 0 369 159 A (AN 23 May 1990 (1990-0 * abstract * * figure 2 *		1,4-10			
	GB 2 217 957 A (PHI ASSOCIATED) 1 Novem * abstract; figures	nber 1989 (1989-11-01)	1,7,10			
	EP 0 551 858 A (SAM LTD) 21 July 1993 ( * figure 1 * * column 1, line 19	1993-07-21)	1,7,10	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.CI.7) H03M		
	·					
	The present search report has	heen drawn un for all claims	,			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Place of search	Date of completion of the search		Examiner		
	Munich	13 September 2004	Wink	cler, G		
X : partic Y : partic docur A : techr O : non-	TEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS cularly relevant if taken alone cularly relevant if combined with anoment of the same category hological background written disclosure nedlate document	E : earlier patent doc after the filling dat ther D : document cited in L : document cited to	ument, but publis  the application r other reasons	hed on, or		

## ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 04 25 4284

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

13-09-2004

Patent document cited in search report			Publication . date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
E1	9 0369159	A	23-05-1990	DE EP	3838685 AI 0369159 AZ	
GI	3 2217957	A	01-11-1989	EP JP	0339727 A2 1314019 A	2 02-11-1989 19-12-1989
Ef	0551858	Α	21-07-1993	DE EP	69328505 D1 0551858 A2	00 00 2000